### Nanomagnetism Part 2: Magnetic Nanoparticle Applications

Sara A. Majetich

Physics Dept., Carnegie Mellon Univ. Pittsburgh, PA 15213 USA sara@cmu.edu

### **Applications of Magnetic Nanoparticles**

- 1. Intro and key nanoparticle properties
- 2. Magnetic manipulation
- 3. Magnetic sensing
- 4. Magnetic hyperthermia
- 5. Magnetic Particle Imaging (MPI)
- 6. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)



# Where Do You Have Magnetic Nanoparticles?



Magnetic Hard Disk Media



#### Credit Card Magnetic Stripe



Monodomain, not superparamagnetic

# Where Do You Have Magnetic Nanoparticles?



Iron oxide nanoparticles grown in cellulose fibers used in \$ as anti-counterfeiting measure

S

Ν

Use a NdFeB magnet to exert a force

# Have You Ever *Eaten* Magnetic Particles?

Iron-fortified cereals have  $< 45 \mu m$  food-grade Fe particles

- dissolve in stomach acids so the Fe can be absorbed
- $Fe(PO)_4$  and  $FeSO_4$  also used for fortification





# **Magnetic Particle Review**



**Superparamagnet**: monodomain particle where there are rapid fluctuations in the magnetization *direction* 

# **Important Magnetic Features**

Magnetic moment

Generate a Magnetic Field  $H \sim \mu$ 



Move in response to a magnet

Force  $F = \mu \bullet \nabla H$ 





Thermal stability enables information storage

# **Important Magnetic Features for Specific Applications**

Application	Generates Field	Moves in Field	Magnetically Metastable
Magnetic Manipulation	Ν	Y	N
Magnetic Sensing	Y (for detection)	N	Y(over measurement time)
Magnetic Hyperthermia	Ν	N	N (for DC), but Y (for AC field used)
Magnetic Particle Imaging	Y (for detection)	N	N
Magnetic Resonance Imaging	Y	N	N
Ferrofluids	Y (to form chains)	Y	Ν
Magnetic Recording Media	Y (for reading)	N	Y (for a long time)

#### **Biomedical Applications of Magnetism**

- Magnetic fields disturb normal biological function much less than electric fields.
- Use the power of nanomagnetism as a tool, with some constraints, in complex biological systems





# Requirements **Beyond** Magnetism

#### **Toxic? Mutagenic? Carcinogenic?**

- Stricter requirements for in vivo than for in vitro
- LD50 (Median lethal dose, per kg of body mass) *In vitro* cell exposure test determine exposure for half of cells to die
- Co, Ni demonstrated carcinogens
- Biocompatible (no immunoresponse) vs. biodegradeable (body excretes)
- Only superparamagnetic iron oxide (Endorem<sup>R</sup>, Feridex<sup>R</sup>, Resovist<sup>R</sup>) has in vivo approval



# **Importance of Particle Coatings**

Coatings are used to **enhance dispersion stability**, to **functionalize for selective binding**, and (for in vivo use) to **prevent rapid removal** 

The Reticulo-endothelial system (RES) recognizes
 "intruders", coats with proteins, so they are recognized and removed by macrophages (opsonization)

• Coating magnetic nanoparticles with "friendly" molecules essential

(Cindi Dennis, NIST)



C. C. Berry and A. S. G. Curtis, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **36** R198-R206 (2003). V. P. Torchilin, Amer. Assoc. Pharmaceut. Sci. Journal **9** E128-E147 (2007).

### Coating and Stem Cell Uptake Efficiency

Endorem<sup>R</sup> (dextrancoated)





Poly(lysine)coated Endorem<sup>R</sup>

#### Uncoated $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



D-mannosecoated  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Prussian Blue stain for Fe, Optical microscopy

D. Horak, et al. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **321** 1539-1547 (2009).

# Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup> Catalysis at NP Surfaces

Cells regulate the balance between creation and destruction of free radicals, but NPs can shift the balance





#### Roberto Zysler, INN Bariloche

# **Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)**

60% of DNA damage due to X-rays from ROS (75% from •OH)





•OH can cause oxidative stress and damage

If Fe<sup>2+</sup> can alter the ROS concentration, are Mn ferrite  $(Mn^{2+}Fe^{3+}O_4) NPs$  better than Magnetite,  $(Fe^{2+}Fe^{3+}O_4)$ ? Is  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (no Fe<sup>2+</sup>, but lower M<sub>s</sub>) better?

Roberto Zysler, INN Bariloche

# **Particle Dispersion**

- If particles form large agglomerates in biological media, they could cause heart attacks or strokes in living organisms
- What happens in biological media? (Phosphate Buffered Saline solution used is equivalent to 154 mM NaCl ---- need steric stabilization)
- Primary particle size from TEM, clustering from dynamic light scattering (DLS)

#### **Two Main Types of Nanoparticles**

 $Fe_3O_4$  or  $\gamma$ - $Fe_2O_3$ ; ~10 nm

Individual particles

Magnetic beads with many particles bound in a polymer matrix; ~ 0.5-10 μm

MRI, hyperthermia, ferrofluids

Magnetic separation, sensing

Coatings important for both types Superparamagnetic

# **Coprecipitation or Hydrothermal Synthesis**



Mix Fe (II), Fe (III) salts in aqueous solution, add base

Easy, all reagents can be biocompatible, makes ~10 nm particles, 20-50 emu/g

**GMP:** Good manufacturing practices

R. Massart and V. Cabuil, J. Chem. Phys. **84** 967 (1987).

# Magnetic Beads





Commercially available (Dynal, Miltenyi,...)

H. Zhao, K. Saatchi, U. O. Hafeli, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **320**, 1356 (2009).

### **Applications of Magnetic Nanoparticles**

- 1. Intro and key nanoparticle properties
- 2. Magnetic manipulation
- 3. Magnetic sensing
- 4. Magnetic hyperthermia
- 5. Magnetic Particle Imaging (MPI)
- 6. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)



#### **2 Kinds of Magnetically Controlled Motion**

Particle moment  $\mu$  rotates in a uniform field

Spatially varying translates particles toward poles



Force  $F = \mu \bullet \nabla H$ 

#### **Torque on Vortex Discs for Cancer Treatement**

ARTICLES

# materials PUBLISHED ONLINE: 29 NOVEMBER 2009 [ DOI: 10.1038/NMAT2591 Biofunctionalized magnetic-vortex microdiscs for targeted cancer-cell destruction

Dong-Hyun Kim<sup>1</sup>, Elena A. Rozhkova<sup>2</sup>\*, Ilya V. Ulasov<sup>3</sup>, Samuel D. Bader<sup>1,2</sup>, Tijana Rajh<sup>2</sup>, Maciej S. Lesniak<sup>3</sup> and Valentyn Novosad<sup>1</sup>\*

Torque  $\tau = \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{H}$ 

nature

Oscillation of the disks induces programmed cell death

Kim, Nature Mater. 9 165, (2010)



### Magnetophoresis

- Drag forces large for small particles, relative to magnetic force  $F_{drag} = 6\rho h r_{hvd}$   $\vec{F}_{mag} = (\vec{\mu} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B}$
- Terminal velocity

$$u_{mag} = \frac{F_{mag}}{6\rho h r_{hyd}}$$

• Use magnetic beads





### Quadrupole Magnetic Separation of Circulating Tumor Cells



F. Carpino, et al. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 311 383 (2007). S. Nagrath , et al., Nature 450 20 (2007) - < 10 cells/10 mL blood, Stage I breast cancer trial

# **Circulating Tumor Cells**

Primary cancers shed cells even when tumor seems to be organ-confined, cause of metastasis

- E. Racila, et al., PNAS **95** 4589-4594 (1998).

Detection of tumor cells in blood could be used for diagnosis, choice of treatment, prognosis

Challenge: find 10 cancer cells among 5x10<sup>9</sup> red blood cells 5x10<sup>6</sup> white blood cells/mL of blood

#### **Targeting Magnetic Particles** *in vivo*

#### No magnetic drug delivery yet

- -Hard to guide to deep locations
- Can hold in place with external magnets
- -Selective chemical binding very inefficient
- -Best delivery by injection upstream of tumor



Systemic Therapy Drug Targeting

Urs Hafeli, Univ. of British Columbia www.magneticmicrosphere.com/hafeli\_lab

# In Vitro Magnetic Gene Delivery



Oscillate field, stimulate endocytosis of 1 µm beads - C. Plank, Molec. Therapy 6, 106-112 (2002); Nature Biotech. 18, 893-895 (2000).

100 nm magnetic pts. coated with DNA coding for green fluorescence protein transfected - S. C. McBain, et al., Gene Therapy 15, 902 (2008)

Feline fibrosarcoma trial – J. Vet. Med. A Physiol. Pathol. Clinical Med. **54** 599-606 (2007); human trials started in 2009

### **Magnetic Swimmers**



Algae swim in response to field gradient

Helical trajectory due to flagella, but magnetic guidance

#### In Vitro Magnetic Control of Ion Channels



J. Dobson, Nature Nanotech. 3, 139-143 (2008); H. Huang, et al. Nature Nanotech 5, 602 (2010).

### **Applications of Magnetic Nanoparticles**

- 1. Intro and key nanoparticle properties
- 2. Magnetic manipulation
- 3. Magnetic sensing
- 4. Magnetic hyperthermia
- 5. Magnetic Particle Imaging (MPI)
- 6. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)



#### **Magnetic Sensing**

Use functionalized particles selectively bind analytes, then concentrate magnetically, then detect by:

- Magnetoresistance (GMR, TMR)
- Relaxometry (SQUID, fluxgate)



#### **Magnetoresistive Sensing: Sandwich Assay**

Need selective binding of analyte to NP + binding of NP to magnetic field sensor



S. J. Osterfeld, et al., PNAS, 105, 20637, (2008)

#### **Magnetic Blood Scanner for Cancer**

- Uses magnetic magnetic beads to 'tag' proteins indicative of cancer and reading them out using magnetic sensors
- Higher sensitivity (1 picogram/mL) than conventional optical fluorescence assays, enabling earlier cancer detection.



• Could be done quickly in a doctor's office

J. Choi, et al., Biosens. Bioelectron. 85, 1 (2016)

# Relaxometry

**3D sensor** (microSQUID array) – **doesn't require particles to be within a few nm of a sensor surface** Can do measurements on whole blood



N. L. Adolphi, et al., Contrast Media Molec. Imaging 7, 308 (2012).
D. Eberbeck, et al., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 321 1628-1631 (2009).
W. K. Peng, et al., Nature Medicine 20, 1069 (2014).

#### SQUID Relaxometry Detection of Breast Cancer



From mouse with two human breast tumors injected with anti-Her-2/neu labeled nanoparticles (Ocean Nanotech SHP-30)

Natalie L. Adolphi, Kimberly Butler, Debbie M. Lovato, Richard S. Larson (Univ. New Mexico) Trace E. Tessier, Howard C. Bryant, Edward R. Flynn (Senior Scientific, LLC)

### **Applications of Magnetic Nanoparticles**

- 1. Intro and key nanoparticle properties
- 2. Magnetic manipulation
- 3. Magnetic sensing
- 4. Magnetic hyperthermia
- 5. Magnetic Particle Imaging (MPI)
- 6. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)



#### **Magnetic Hyperthermia**

#### **Dissipate Energy** – Hyperthermia



Power dissipation

**Hyperthermia** ->43 ° C – cells more sensitive to chemotherapy drugs and radiation

**Ablation** - > 50 ° C - kill cells directly by heating

Many types of hyperthermia: Direct, electrical, ultrasound, photothermal, magnetic (where is magnetic hyperthermia superior?)

Clinical trials: Charité Hospital Berlin, University College London

# **Magnetic Hyperthermia**

**Magnetic dissipation** 



$$\Delta U = -\mu_0 \oint M dH$$
$$P = f \Delta U = \mu_0 \pi \chi i f H^2$$

Power loss  $\sim \Delta T$ 

**FDA requirements:**  $H_{max}f < 4.85 \times 10^8 \text{ A-turns/m-s}$ 

- with typical fields,  $f_{max} < 1.2 \text{ MHz}$ 

- Otherwise stimulate nerves and cause pain

W. J. Atkinson, et al., IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 31 70-75 (1984).

# **Magnetic Susceptibility** C = M/H

*Low amplitude* AC field H(t) drives magnetization M(t)





Can break M(t) into components in-phase and 90° out-of-phase with H(t), leading to the real and imaginary terms in the susceptibility, respectively M



$$C = \frac{M}{H} = C' - iC''$$

Typical hyperthermia frequencies 100-500 kHz

# **Are Superparamagnets Best?**

• Many papers claim that SP particles are best for hyperthermia, but these particles have no magnetic energy losses

• Particles are SP in nearly DC measurements, but loops open up at AC frequencies used for hyperthermia



A. K. Giri, K. M. Chowdary, and S. A. Majetich, *Mater. Physics and Mechanics* **1**, 1-10 (2000).

# **Hyperthermia Simulations**



S. Ruta, et al., Sci. Rep. (2015), DOI: 10.1038/srep09090

# Hyperthermia Simulations: Magnetostatic Interactions and K



S. Ruta, et al., Sci. Rep. (2015), DOI: 10.1038/srep09090

# **Quantifying Heating Power**



#### **Ex vivo measurement of heating rate**

- measure initial slope of T(t)
- depends on particle concentration
- depends on whether particles immobilized (Brownian vs. Néel rotation)
- use IR T sensor, not thermocouple

#### **Figures of Merit**

SAR – Specific absorption ratio – Power absorbed *per kg tissue*SLP – Specific loss parameter – Power absorbed *per g Fe*Thermal dose – *Should* be total power transferred, but not yet standardized

## **Electromagnetic Properties** of Biological Tissues



Low electrical conductivity at low frequencies, but not at high

- like a Capacitor

Muscle and fat have different electrical properties

- AC EM field hyperthermia can create hot spots in fat

#### **Boundary Value Problems**



EM field penetration depends on frequency, material, and thickness

Must tailor hyperthermia treatment to individual

### **Bioheat Transfer Mechanisms**



#### **Bioheat Equation**

#### **Blood Perfusion**



Low flow rate

Normal flow rate (typical of muscle)

High flow rate (typical of brain, kidneys)

Hyperthermia harder in regions with high blood flow rates

### **Nanocubes for Hyperthermia**



 $17 - 21 \text{ nm Fe}_{3}O_{4}$  nanocubes currently have high SAR under conditions approved for in human application

Coatings to limit magnetostatic interactions are important



When particles taken up into endosomes, forming magnetosomes, they interact more strongly and the surface coating is often degraded. The stronger interactions reduce the SAR. This is also found with multicore NPs for hyperthermia.

B. T. Mai, et al., ACS Appl. Mater. and Interf. **11**, 5727 (2019)

J. Kokosnjaj-Tabi, et al., ACS Nano 8, 4268 (2014).

### **Nanocubes for Hyperthermia**

AC magnetic field heats through the particles, and at the same time releases the chemo locally



#### Complete clearance of NPs after 5 months

B. T. Mai, et al., ACS Appl. Mater. and Interf. 11, 5727 (2019)

### **Nanocubes for Hyperthermia**



Hyperthermia plus localized drug release (doxorubicin) eliminates skin cancer tumors

DOXO has FDA approval for release through liposomes, and is used to treat breast, prostate, stomach, and colon

DOXO loaded in thermally responsive polymer coating the nanocubes

AC magnetic field heats through the particles, releases the chemo

B. T. Mai, et al., ACS Appl. Mater. and Interf. 11, 5727 (2019)

# Hyperthermia Control of Ion Channels

65

t=1s



H. Huang, et al., Nat. Nanotech.(2010), DOI:10.1038/NNANO.2010.125

Use AC field to heat nanoparticles attached to sites near ion channels, see living C. elegans respond to AC magnetic field stimulus



Time (s)

11s

13 s

15s

#### Hyperthermia for Organ Transplantation

The lifetime of harvested organs is extended by exchanging the blood with a liquid such as DMSO that doesn't crystallize when cooled

Rapid re-heating is needed prior to transplantation, or the glassy DMSO can recrystallize and damage the tissue



Beth Stadler and John Bischof, U. Minn., Oana Dragoa-Pinzaru, Iasi, Romania



### **Applications of Magnetic Nanoparticles**

- 1. Intro and key nanoparticle properties
- 2. Magnetic manipulation
- 3. Magnetic sensing
- 4. Magnetic hyperthermia
- 5. Magnetic Particle Imaging (MPI)
- 6. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)



# Magnetic Particle Imaging (MPI)

**MRI** – Detect protons (<sup>1</sup>H) whose NMR frequency is perturbed by the field of a magnetic particle

- MPI Detect magnetic particles themselves - 50 frames/s
  - see particles *move* through mouse heart



B. Gleich, J. Weizenecker, Nature **435** 1214 (2005).

# **Magnetic Particle Imaging (MPI)**





3 orthogonal DC fields determine Field Free Point (FFP)

#### Near H = 0, NPs have a nonlinear response

Apply high amplitude AC field, and response is dominated by NPs near the FFP

Scan FFP through sample and repeat to form 3D image

#### **MPI – Nonlinear Response**

kG

2

2 0

2

G



Excite with AC field about H = 0, FT has high amplitude in many harmonics

#### **Excite about H near saturation**, FT has **low** amplitude in many harmonics

b. Saturated response

K. M. Krishnan, IEEE Trans. Magn. 46, 2523 (2010)

# Combining MPI and Hyperthermia for Deep Targeting



D. Hensley, et al., Phys. Med. Biol. 62, 3483 (2017)

# **More MPI and Hyperthermia**



Z. W. Tay, ACS Nano (2018), DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.8b00893

### **Applications of Magnetic Nanoparticles**

- 1. Intro and key nanoparticle properties
- 2. Magnetic manipulation
- 3. Magnetic sensing
- 4. Magnetic hyperthermia
- 5. Magnetic Particle Imaging (MPI)
- 6. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI



# MRI CONTRAST AGENTS

#### Particle moment generates a Magnetic Field



- Magnetic particle fringe field changes relaxation rate of nuclear moments of hydrogen atoms (<sup>1</sup>H) in nearby water molecules
- Remove water background for higher contrast



Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

# <sup>1</sup>H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (Proton NMR)

• Very sensitive method for detecting hydrogen nuclei in different chemical (and magnetic) environments

• Nuclear spins act like paramagnets with high saturation field

- At DC field of 1.5 T, only *slight* imbalance
- <sup>1</sup>H magnetic field is very weak, so detect by dynamic measurements

- FMR typically in GHz range, <sup>1</sup>H NMR typically in MHz range

• Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) = spatial mapping of NMR signal

#### **Longitudinal and Transverse Relaxation**

m precesses about a static field  $B_0$ Apply rf field pulse to rotate by  $\pi/2$ m precesses back to lie parallel to  $B_0$ 

**Bloch-Bloembergen equations** 

$$\frac{\sqrt{m_z}}{\sqrt{t}} = -g\left(\vec{m} \cdot \vec{B}\right)_z = \frac{m_s - m_z}{T_1}$$
$$\frac{\sqrt{m_{x,y}}}{\sqrt{t}} = -g\left(\vec{m} \cdot \vec{B}\right)_{x,y} = \frac{m_s - m_{x,y}}{T_2}$$

#### 2 characteristic times, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>



Q. A. Pankhurst, et al., J. Phys. D **36**, R167 (2003)

# **Dephasing and T<sub>2</sub>**



# **T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> Relaxation**

- Use iron oxide nanoparticles

$$m_z \mu \left[ 1 - \exp(-t/T_1) \right]$$

 $m_{xy} \mu \exp(-t/T_2)$ 

Decrease  $T_1$  of water protons and signal increases – **image gets brighter** 

- Use Gd<sup>3+</sup>DTPA

**Figure of Merit:** 



 $W = GB_{eff}$ 

**Relaxivity**  $R_1$  (or  $R_2$ ) = change in  $T_1$  (or  $T_2$ ) per concentration of contrast agent

# Summary

Most magnetic particle applications arise because they can generate magnetic fields, can move or change properties in response to a magnetic field, and can easily be bound to other things









#### Acknowledgments



Shan Wang – Stanford Univ. Jon Dobson – Univ. of Florida Cindi Dennis – NIST Urs Hafeli – Univ. of British Columbia Ed Flynn- Senior Scientific Kannan Krishnan – Univ. Washington Teresa Pellegrino – U. Genoa JitKang Lim – U. Sains Malaysia Beth Stadler – U. Minnesota